One Proportion Z-Test Practice

Example #1: Benford's law and fraud

When the accounting firm AJL and Associates audits a company's financial records for fraud, they often use a test based on Benford's law. Benford's law states that the distribution of first digits in many real-life sources of data is not uniform. In fact, when there is no fraud, about 30.1% of the numbers in financial records begin with the digit 1. If the proportion of first digits that are 1 is significantly different from 0.301 in a random sample of records, AJL and Associates does a much more thorough investigation of the company. Suppose that a random sample of 300 expenses from a company's financial records results in only 68 expenses that begin with the digit 1. Should AJL and Associates do a more thorough investigation of this company? Justify your answer.

Example #2a: Benford's law and fraud

(a) Find and interpret a confidence interval for the proportion of all expenses that begin with the digit 1 for the company in the previous Alternate Example.

(b) Does your interval from part (a) lead to the same conclusion as the significance test? Explain.

One Proportion Z-Test Practice

Example #3: Spinning Heads?

When a fair coin is flipped, we all know that the probability the coin lands on "heads" is 0.50. However, what if a coin is spun? According to the article "Euro coin accused of unfair flipping" in the *New Scientist* (January 4, 2002), two Polish math professors and their students spun a Belgian euro coin 250 times. It landed "heads" 140 times. One of the professors concluded that the coin was minted asymmetrically. A representative from the Belgian mint said the result was just chance.

Problem:

- (a) State the hypotheses we are interested in testing.
- (b) Assuming the conditions for inference are met, what values of \hat{p} would lead to a rejection of H_0 at the 5% significance level? Explain how you obtained your answer.
- (c) Based on your answer to part (b) and the results of the study, what conclusion would you draw?
- (d) Suppose that the actual probability of heads when spinning a Belgian euro coin is p = 0.55. What is the probability

that a Type II error will be committed when using the results of 250 spins? That is, what is the probability that \hat{P} does not fall in the rejection region from part (c) when p really equals 0.55?

(e) Based on your answer to part (d), what is the probability that you find convincing evidence that $p \neq 0.5$ when spinning a coin 250 times, assuming that p really equals 0.55?